

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation

Minutes of Meetings of Empowered Technical Bid Evaluation Committee (ETEC) for "Construction of two lane with hard shoulder of Kohima-Jessami Road on NH-29 (Old NH-150) From existing km 30.474 (near Kidwema road Chakahabama) to existing km 53.220 (near Kikruma Village) [ Design Km 29.6 to design Km 51.5] [Design Length - 21.90 Km] in the state of Nagaland under Bharatmala Pariyojna on EPC Mode (Package II)" held at NHIDCL, New Delhi on 24.02.2020

1. The bids for the subject work were invited and bids were physically received on scheduled bid due date as 07.02.2020 at 1100 hrs.

2. Empowered Technical Bid Opening Committee (ETBC) met to open the technical Bids on 10.02.2020 at 1100 hrs. The following bidders have submitted their bids physically and online.

- (i) M/s RKIPL-NSC (JV)
- (ii) M/s VKS-NIPL (JV)
- (iii) M/s MG Contractors Pvt. Ltd
- (iv) M/s SIPL-RMN (JV)
- (v) M/s Keystone Infra Pvt. Ltd.
- (vi) M/s SRK Constructions and Projects Private Limited.
- (vii) M/s KCPL Hyderabad
- (viii) M/s Varaha-OIA (JV)
- (ix) M/s JSCIAV

3. The Evaluation Committee has considered the following Criteria for evaluation of the bids for the above project

Sr.No.	Particulars	Amount in Rs. Cr.
1	Estimated Project Cost	248.83
2	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) as per clause 2.2.2.2 (i)	373.25
3	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	223.95
4	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	76.65
5	Minimum required amount of COMPLETED Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 from at least one similar work as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)	62.21
6	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 , the Capital Cost of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (c) )	24.88
7	Minimum required amount of self constructed project by the Bidder for a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d))	One half of the Project Cost of eligible projects as defined in clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d).
8	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 3&4 , the receipt / payments of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (ii) )	24.88
9	Minimum Financial capacity required as per clause 2.2.2.3	12.44
10	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	7.46
11	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	2.49
12	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required as per clause 2.2.2.3 (ii)	49.77
13	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	29.86
14	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	9.95
15	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For each Bidder) as per clause 2.2.2.1	248.83
16	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	149.29
17	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	49.76


*[Handwritten signatures and initials]*

4. The Evaluation Committee in its first ETEC during evaluation has found that some of the data/information provided by the Bidders is not adhering to the clauses given in the RFP document. Accordingly, it is recommended that the clarifications may be sought from the Bidders as per clause no 3.1.4 of the RFP to facilitate the evaluation process.

5. In light of the above, the remark of ETEC w.r.t the clarification to be sought is as below:

S.No	Name of the Bidder	Observations	The following clarification may be sought from the bidder
1	M/s VKS-NIPL (JV)	1. Annexure –IX uploaded as addendum regarding the number of works of NHIDCL in hand with the contractor could not be located. 2. The Statutory Auditor's certificate attached submitted by M/s VKS does not have UDIN number (net worth, Turnover and eligible projects).	1. Linking up of relevant document in support of number of works of NHIDCL 2. The UDIN no. of the document submitted certified by Statutory auditor to be submitted by the bidder.
2	M/s MG Contractors Pvt. Ltd	1. Annexure –IX uploaded as addendum regarding the number of works of NHIDCL in hand with the contractor could not be located.	1. Linking up of relevant document in support of number of works of NHIDCL
3	M/s SIPL-RMN (JV)	1. Completion certificate for the eligible work duly signed by the authority has not been submitted by M/s SIPL and M/s RMN	The document is to be submitted by the bidder.
4	M/s Keystone Infra Pvt. Ltd.	1. Annexure –IX uploaded as addendum regarding the number of works of NHIDCL in hand with the contractor could not be located.	1. Linking up of relevant document in support of number of works of NHIDCL
5.	M/s SRK Constructions and Projects Private Limited.	1. Completion certificate for the eligible work duly signed by the authority is not attached	The documents may be asked to be submitted by the bidder.
6	M/s KCPL Hyderabad	1. Annexure –IX uploaded as addendum regarding the number of works of NHIDCL submitted by the contractor includes several other works not being taken up by NHIDCL. 2. The Statutory Auditor's certificate attached does not have UDIN number (net worth, Turnover and eligible projects).	1. Linking up of relevant document in support of number of works of NHIDCL 2. The UDIN no. of the document submitted certified by Statutory auditor to be submitted by the bidder.
7	M/s Varaha-OIA (JV)	1. Completion certificate for the eligible work duly signed by the authority has not been submitted by M/s Varaha.	The documents may be asked to be submitted by the bidder.
8.	M/s JSCIAV	1. Annexure –IX uploaded as addendum regarding the number of works of NHIDCL in hand with the contractor could not be located. 2. POA is in the name of Sh. Hoshiar Singh Dahiya but the bid has been uploaded by Sh. Praveen Kumar. 3. English translation of the experience certificate could not be located	1. Linking up of relevant document in support of number of works of NHIDCL 2. We may seek clarification regarding difference in the name of the POA and the person uploading the bid. 3. We may ask the firm to indicate the page on which the English translation is present.

6. Consequent to submission of the clarification by the contractor, another meeting of the ETEC shall be convened again. To assess the Technical Capacity, Financial Capacity and the Bid Capacity of the bidders.

  
Ajay Anulwalia  
(ED-I)  
Chairman

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to chair.

  
A.K. Singh  
(GM-Tech)  
Member

  
A.K. Jha  
(DGM-Tech)  
Member

  
Mahesh Gupta  
DGM -Fin.  
Member